arXiv:1302.2060v3 [quant-ph] 28 Mar 2013

# Tests For Complete Positivity of Quantum Channels

**James M. McCracken**

U.S. Naval Research Laboratory, Code 5540, Washington, DC 20375

E-mail: `james.mccracken@nrl.navy.mil`

**Abstract.** A quantum channel will have a Choi representation from which the complete positivity (CP) can be determined in a number of different ways. Every method relies on Choi's proof [1] which relates CP to the positive semi-definiteness of a specially constructed matrix (i.e. the "Choi representation of the channel"). It can be shown that different CP tests can be used in different situations to avoid the computationally expensive brute force method of calculating the full spectrum of the Choi representation, which is the traditional method for testing whether or not a channel is CP. It is important to have quick, reliable analytical and computational tests for CP as negative channels become more prevalent in the study of quantum information.

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w, 03.67.-a, 03.67.Hk, 42.50.Lc

Submitted to: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*

## 1. Introduction

Complete positivity has become an ingrained part of the modern study of open quantum systems [2, 3], but dynamics of quantum systems need not be completely positive (CP) [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] (and references therein). A non-CP quantum evolution is called "negative". In the language of open quantum systems, a composite quantum system is a quantum system under the control of the experimenter (called the "reduced system") along with the other quantum systems inaccessible to the experimenter that may still influence the dynamics of the reduced system (called the "bath", "environment", "reservoir", etc). This language can be used to define a quantum channel in the open systems settings, and with such a definition the "CP-ness" (defined by the channel negativity) of the channel will be derived from its Choi representation.

Understanding the negativity of a given channel is important in understanding the channel's interactions with its bath and how to accurately model it theoretically. Channels with a vanishing negativity (i.e. CP channels) have very nice mathematical properties that can be exploited in many, many different ways [2], including quantum error correction and channel capacity theorems. The mathematical structure of negative channels is still under investigation [10, 11], but it is important for the theorist to understand if a given theoretical model of a channel is expected to have a non-vanishing negativity (in order to avoid unnecessary confusion for the experimentalist). As such, it is useful to have simple tests to distinguish between negative and CP channels. The negativity (defined below) requires a full eigendecomposition to calculate. Instead, the theorist can perform simpler tests which do not provide an actual value for the negativity, but rather simply provide "yes" or "no" answers to the question "Is this channel CP?"

### *1.1. Channel Definition*

A quantum channel is traditionally defined for a general state $\rho(t)$ at time $t$ as

$$\varepsilon(\rho(t_i)) = \rho(t_f) \quad , \tag{1}$$

where $t_f \geq t_i$ and $\varepsilon$ is a linear, hermiticity preserving, trace preserving, and CP map defined as $\varepsilon : \Omega \to \Omega$ where $\Omega$ is the set of all possible states for the system. The CP requirement for the map can be dropped by defining the channel in an open systems setting as

$$\varepsilon(\rho) = Tr_B\left(U\rho^{\sharp}U^{\dagger}\right) \quad , \tag{2}$$

where $\rho$ is the initial state of the reduced system, $U$ is the unitary evolution of the composite system, and $\sharp$ is called the sharp operator (or "assignment map"). The state $\rho$ resides in the Hilbert space accessible to the experimenter in the lab, $\mathcal{H}^S$; i.e. $\rho \in \mathcal{H}^S$, and the evolution of the reduced system is found by "tracing out" the bath from the joint evolution of the reduced system and the bath [3]; i.e. $U \in \mathcal{H}^{SB} = \mathcal{H}^S \otimes \mathcal{H}^B$ where $\mathcal{H}^B$ is the Hilbert space of the bath. The partial trace operation, $Tr_B : \mathcal{H}^{SB} \to \mathcal{H}^S$, is an operator that allows expectation values of observables in the reduced system to be consistent with trivial extensions into a higher dimensional Hilbert space [12]. The sharp operation is an operation that injects the initial state of the reduced system into the higher dimensional Hilbert space of the composite system (i.e. $\rho^\sharp \in \mathcal{H}^{SB}$) [4, 6, 11] and is only defined on a subset of states in $\mathcal{H}^S$ called the positivity domain of $\sharp$ (which will be labelled $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{H}^S\right)$). The partial trace defines $\varepsilon$ to be hermiticity preserving, trace preserving, and consistent, i.e. $Tr_B\left(\rho^\sharp\right) = \rho$. The positivity domain of $\sharp$ restricts the positivity of $\varepsilon$ to $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{H}^S\right)$. Hence, the channel $\varepsilon : \mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{H}^S\right) \to \mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{H}^S\right)$ is defined as linear, consistent, hermiticity preserving, and trace preserving but not necessarily CP. More information about the mathematical structure of quantum information channels in the open system settings can be found in [10, 11]. It is assumed here that $\mathcal{H}^S$, $\mathcal{H}^B$, and $\mathcal{H}^{SB}$ are all finite dimensional.

Typically, there are two methods used to determine if a channel is CP: the Kraus form and Choi's matrix. A channel will have a Kraus form if and only if it is CP [3], hence if a theorist can find a Kraus form for the channel $\varepsilon$, then $\varepsilon$ is CP. This method is not very useful in practice. Kraus forms are not unique and are difficult to find without explicitly constructing the channel as a Kraus sum in the first place. In fact, to find the canonical Kraus form of a channel from process tomography data, one must first find Choi's matrix [13]. As such, Choi's matrix is usually the easier method.

Consider a matrix defined as

$$C = (I \otimes \varepsilon) \sum_{ij} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} = \sum_{ij} E_{ij} \otimes \varepsilon\left(E_{ij}\right) \tag{3}$$

for some channel $\varepsilon$ where $E_{ij}$ is the matrix of all zero entries with a 1 in the $ij$th position. The matrix $C$ is called Choi's matrix or "the Choi representation of the channel $\varepsilon$". If $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ and $I$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, then $C \in \mathbb{C}^{mn\times mn}$. A proof due to Choi [1] states that the map $\varepsilon$ is CP if and only if $C$ is positive semi-definite‡.

### *1.2. Negativity Definition*

The Choi representation $C$ of $\varepsilon$ can be used define a measure of the "CP-ness" of the channel. That measure is called the negativity and is defined as

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{Tr\left(C\right)}{||C||_1}\right) \quad , \tag{4}$$

where $||C||_1 \equiv \sqrt{C^\dagger C}$ is the trace norm of $C$. Notice,

$$C \geq 0 \to ||C||_1 = Tr\left(C\right) \to \eta = 0 \quad , \tag{5}$$

and

$$C < 0 \to ||C||_1 = -Tr\left(C\right) \to \eta = 1 \quad . \tag{6}$$

---

‡ Notice that $E_{ij}$ is sometimes written as $|i\rangle\langle j|$ in the physics literature. Hence, $E_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} = |i\rangle\langle j| \otimes |i\rangle\langle j|$, which looks like a maximally entangled state. This notation is used to describe Choi's matrix as the application of the map $\varepsilon$ to half of a maximally entangled state. Leung [14] outlines a method of performing process tomography based on Choi's proof and a similar logic.

However, it can be seen from the definition that $C$ obeys a trace condition of $Tr\left(C\right)=N$ where $C$ is a $N^2$x$N^2$ matrix (because $\varepsilon$ is trace preserving by definition). This trace condition implies

$$Tr(C)>0\Rightarrow \max(\eta)<1\ \ ; \tag{7}$$

at least one eigenvalue of $C$ will be non-negative because the trace of $C$ is positive. Hence, $\eta\in[0,1)$ with $\eta=0\Leftrightarrow\varepsilon$ is CP and $\eta>0\Leftrightarrow\varepsilon$ is negative. Also notice,

$$||C||_1\geq Tr\left(C\right)\ \ , \tag{8}$$

implying that the negativity is never undefined.

The calculation of $\eta$ requires $||C||_1$, which is usually found using a spectral decomposition of $C$. Such a computation can be difficult if $C$ is sufficiently large.

## 2. CP Tests using Choi's matrix

### *2.1. Majorization Rank Test*

If an $N\times N$ matrix $B$ is full rank, it is invertible [15]. A matrix must have a non-zero determinant if it is invertible, therefore

$$rank(B)=N\Rightarrow B\text{ is invertible} \tag{9}$$

and

$$B\text{ is invertible}\Leftrightarrow\det(B)\neq0 \tag{10}$$

can be used to relate the rank of a matrix to its determinant. To see this, notice that the characteristic equation for $B$ yields

$$\det(\lambda I-B)=0\ \ , \tag{11}$$

where $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $B$ and $I$ is the $N\times N$ identity matrix. For some $\lambda=0$, the characteristic equation becomes $\det(\lambda I-B)=\det(B)=0$. As such, a full rank $B$ has only nonzero eigenvalues. In general, the rank of $B$ can be found as

$$rank(B)=N-k \tag{12}$$

with

$$k=card\left\{\lambda_i\mid\lambda_i=0\right\}\ \ , \tag{13}$$

where $card(\mathcal{A})$ is the cardinality of set $\mathcal{A}$. The rank of $B$ is simply the number of nonzero eigenvalues associated to $B$. This relationship is useful in counting the number of eigenvalues of a matrix equal to zero. The number of eigenvalues of an $N\times N$ matrix $B$ that are equal to zero will be denoted $k$ and will be found as

$$k=N-rank(B)\ \ . \tag{14}$$

A Choi matrix is Hermitian, and it is known that the spectrum of Hermitian matrices are majorized by their diagonals [16] [17] [18]. The *majorization* of a Choi matrix $C$ by its diagonal is written using the notation

$$\vec{d}\prec\vec{\lambda} \tag{15}$$

where $\vec{d}$ is a vector of the diagonal elements of some Hermitian matrix $C$ in decreasing (strictly non-increasing) order, written as

$$\vec{d} = diag(C)^{\downarrow} \ , \tag{16}$$

and $\vec{\lambda}$ is a vector of the eigenvalues of $C$ in decreasing order, written as

$$\vec{\lambda} = spec(C)^{\downarrow} \ , \tag{17}$$

and is defined as

$$\sum_{i}^{m} d_i \leq \sum_{i}^{m} \lambda_i \tag{18}$$

for any $m < N$ with

$$\sum_{i}^{N} d_i = \sum_{i}^{N} \lambda_i = Tr(C) \ \ . \tag{19}$$

The majorization condition of some Choi matrix $C$ yields a simple test for the presence of a negative eigenvalue of $C$ without actually calculating the spectrum. Consider an integer $m'$ where

$$m' = \min \left\{ m \mid \sum_{i}^{m} d_i \geq Tr(C) \right\} \ \ . \tag{20}$$

The presence of a negative eigenvalue can be seen using the integer

$$n_- = N - (m' + k) \tag{21}$$

i.e. $n_-$ is the total number of eigenvalues (which is $N$ for an $N \times N$ square Hermitian matrix) minus the number of eigenvalues equal to zero (i.e. $k$) and $m'$. Using the derivations above, this expression reduces to

$$n_- = N - m' - k = N - m' - N + rank(C) = rank(C) - m' \ \ . \tag{22}$$

The integer $m'$ is the number of terms in the ordered diagonal vector (i.e. $\vec{d}$) of the Choi matrix required to sum to (or greater than) the value of the trace. The majorization result then implies that the first $m'$ terms of the ordered spectrum (i.e. $\vec{\lambda}$) must sum to a value greater than or equal to the trace. If the first $m'$ terms of $\vec{\lambda}$ are all positive, and this number of terms plus the number of zero eigenvalues (i.e. k) is less than the total number of eigenvalues, then there must be a negative eigenvalue present by the trace condition of the Choi matrix. This logic is why there must be a negative eigenvalue present if $n_- > 0$. If, instead, the first $m'$ terms of $\vec{\lambda}$ contain a negative eigenvalue (but no zeros) or there are no negative eigenvalues in the spectrum at all, then the trace condition can be satisfied even if all the remaining eigenvalues past the first $m'$ terms of $\vec{\lambda}$ are zero. This logic is why $n_- \leq 0$ is inconclusive. Similarly, if the first $m'$ terms of $\vec{\lambda}$ contain a zero, then adding $m'$ to $k$ will double count some of the zero eigenvalues, which, again, implies $n_- \leq 0$ is inconclusive.

It follows that

$$n_- > 0 \Rightarrow \eta_\epsilon \neq 0 \ \ , \tag{23}$$

i.e. $\epsilon$ is negative if $n_- > 0$. Notice $1 \leq rank(C) \leq N$ and $1 \leq m' \leq N$ but in general it is not true that $m' \leq rank(C)$; hence $n_-$ can be negative.

As a simple example, notice that the Choi representation of the qubit identity channel is

$$C_I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{24}$$

which leads to

$$\vec{d}_I = (1, 1, 0, 0) \ . \tag{25}$$

This vector, in turn, leads to $m' = 2$. The rank of $C_I$ is 1, hence

$$n_- = 1 - 2 < 0 \tag{26}$$

implying that the identity channel might be completely positive, and indeed the negativity of the identity channel is

$$\eta_I = \frac{0}{2} = 0 \ . \tag{27}$$

The Choi representation of the qubit transpose channel is given as

$$C_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{28}$$

This leads to the same $\vec{d}$ and $m'$ as the identity channel, but notice $C_T$ is full rank. It follows that

$$n_- = 4 - 2 > 0 \ , \tag{29}$$

implying that the transpose channel is negative. Indeed,

$$\eta_T = \frac{1}{4} \ . \tag{30}$$

Consider the following, more general, example: A Choi matrix $C$ is given as

$$C_g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & d^* & 0 & 0 \\ b^* & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{31}$$

The ordered diagonal vector for this example would be the same as for the identity channel, i.e. $\vec{d}_g = (1, 1, 0, 0)$. The un-ordered eigenvalue vector would be

$$\vec{\lambda}_g = \left(1 - \sqrt{bb^*}, 1 + \sqrt{bb^*}, -\sqrt{dd^*}, \sqrt{dd^*}\right) \ . \tag{32}$$

The trace of $C_g$, i.e. $Tr(C_g) = 2$, yields $m' = 2$ for this example. If this Choi matrix had a negative eigenvalue, then $n_- > 0$ implying

$$rank(C) - 2 > 0 \Rightarrow rank(C) > 2 \ . \tag{33}$$

Notice that if $d \neq 0$, then the rank of $C_g$ is at least 3, and if $d \neq 0$, then there is at least one negative eigenvalue, i.e. $-\sqrt{dd^*}$ or $\sqrt{dd^*}$. Therefore, as originally claimed, $n_- > 0$ implies at least one negative eigenvalue in this example.

The shortcomings of this test come from the other direction of the above inequality. Given $n_i \leq 0$, nothing can be said about the negativity of the channel. The example of $C_g$ illustrates this point nicely. Consider $n_- = 0$. This result implies

$$rank(C) = 2 \ . \tag{34}$$

This situation can occur if $d = 0$ and $b \neq \pm 1$. But notice $b = 10$ leads to

$$\vec{\lambda}_g = (11, -9, 0, 0) \ \ , \tag{35}$$

which is negative, and $b = 1/2$ leads to

$$\vec{\lambda}_g = \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0\right) \ \ , \tag{36}$$

which is not negative. So, $n_- = 0$ can not be used to determine the presence of a negative eigenvalue. In this example, $n_- < 0$ implies $rank(C) < 2$ or $rank(C) = 1$. Any rank 1 Choi matrix is necessarily positive semi-definite by the trace condition, so $n_- < 0$ implies the presence of no negative eigenvalues in this example. But, this conclusion is not true in general.

The majorization rank test can be a quick test for complete positivity.

### *2.2. Gershgorin Circle Test*

Given a matrix $C$ with elements $c_{jk}$, define

$$r_m = \sum_{n \neq m} |c_{mn}| \ . \tag{37}$$

The Gershgorin circle theorem states that at least one eigenvalue of $C$ lies in a circular disk of the complex plane centered at $c_{mm}$ with radius $r_m$ [19].

A Choi matrix representation $C$ with elements $c_{jk}$ will be Hermitian, hence all the eigenvalues will be real. If every diagonal element is larger than its associated Gershgorin radius, then the channel will be completely positive. Every Gershgorin circle of $C$ will be centered on the non-negative real axis of the complex plane if $c_{mm} \geq 0 \ \forall m$. It follows that if the Gershgorin radius associated to each center is not long enough to cover some part of the negative real axis of the complex plane, then there can be no negative eigenvalues of $C$. The Gershgorin circle test is

$$|c_{mm}| \geq r_m \ \forall m \Leftrightarrow \eta_\epsilon = 0 \ \ , \tag{38}$$

where $c_{jk}$ are the elements of the Choi representation of a channel associate to $\epsilon$ and $c_{mm} \geq 0 \ \forall m$.

If $|c_{mm}| \geq r_m \ \forall m$, then the matrix $C$ is said to be diagonally dominant. By Gershgorin's theorem, any Hermitian, diagonally dominant matrix with non-negative diagonal entries is positive definite. Hence, another way to state the Gershgorin circle test is as follows:

$$C \text{ is diagonally dominant and } c_{mm} \geq 0 \ \forall m \Rightarrow \eta_\epsilon = 0 \ . \tag{39}$$

The Choi representation of the qubit identity channel is diagonally dominant:

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_{11} = 1, \quad r_1 = 1 \Rightarrow |c_{11}| = r_1 \\
&c_{22} = 0, \quad r_2 = 0 \Rightarrow |c_{22}| = r_2 \\
&c_{33} = 0, \quad r_3 = 0 \Rightarrow |c_{33}| = r_3 \\
&c_{44} = 1, \quad r_4 = 1 \Rightarrow |c_{44}| = r_4
\end{aligned}$$

By the Gershgorin circle test, the qubit identity channel must be completely positive. Similarly, the qubit transpose channel is not diagonally dominant:

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_{11} = 1, \quad r_1 = 0 \Rightarrow |c_{11}| > r_1 \\
&c_{22} = 0, \quad r_2 = 1 \Rightarrow |c_{22}| < r_2 \\
&c_{33} = 0, \quad r_3 = 1 \Rightarrow |c_{33}| < r_3 \\
&c_{44} = 1, \quad r_4 = 0 \Rightarrow |c_{44}| > r_4
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the Gershgorin circle test, the qubit transpose channel might be negative.

Notice that this test can also be used to find negative eigenvalues if the Choi representation of the channel has negative diagonal elements. A negative diagonal element means there would be a Gershgorin circle centered somewhere on the negative real axis, and if the matrix is Hermitian and diagonally dominant, then the Gershgorin radius associated with that circle will not be long enough to include any part of the non-negative real axis. Hence, at least one eigenvalue must be negative. It follows that if a diagonal element of $C$ is negative, and $C$ is diagonally dominant, then $C$ represents a negative channel.

The Gershgorin test does not provide any way to quantify the degree to which a given channel is negative, but, like the previous test, its utility stems from its simplicity. Checking the diagonal dominance of a given Choi matrix is straightforward and, given a sparse Choi matrix, the diagonal dominance can be checked by inspection. In practice, this test is usually the quickest of all the negativity tests described here.

### *2.3. Further Comments on Negativity Tests*

Another simple test for the presence of a negative eigenvalue for some Choi matrix $C$ is simply to find the determinant; i.e.

$$det(C) < 0 \Rightarrow \eta_\epsilon \neq 0 \ . \tag{40}$$

If the determinant of $C$ is negative, then the channel is negative because the determinant is the product of the eigenvalues. This simple test, like some of the previous tests, has a limited domain of applicability. Consider the Choi matrix

$$C_m = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad . \tag{41}$$

The qubit channel $\epsilon_m$ represented by $C_m$ takes every initial state to the completely mixed state. The negativity of $C_m$ can be found be inspection to be $\eta_m = 0$. The matrix $C_m$ is also quickly seen to be diagonally dominant, hence $\eta_m = 0$ by the Gershgorin circle test. It can also be seen that $C_m$ is full rank, hence $n_- = 0$ and $\eta_m = 0$ by the majorization rank test. Notice, however, that $\epsilon_m(|0\rangle\langle 0|)$ is not pure, hence the Cauchy interlacing test is inapplicable here and the determinant of $C_m$ is positive indicating either no negative eigenvalues or an even number of negative eigenvalues.

This example helps illustrate the point of multiple negativity tests. These tests provide quick ways to determine if a given channel is completely positive from its Choi representation. Some tests will be easier than others in a given situation, but none of the tests will yield as much information as a direct calculation of the negativity. Direct calculation of the negativity will almost always be preferred, but these different tests will be useful in various, limited capacities.

Consider the canonical negative qubit channel:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_T(\rho_i) &= \rho^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rho_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rho_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rho_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rho_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where a factor of 1/2 has been factored out of the last two eigenmatrices in the operator sum representation for convenience. The Choi representation is the same as the superoperator representation, i.e.

$$C_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad . \tag{42}$$

This example was used in the introduction of each of the test, so it has already been shown that $\eta_T = 0$ by the majorization rank test, Gershgorin circle test, and Cauchy interlacing test. Notice that $C_T$ is also negative according to the determinant test, i.e. $det(C_T) = -1$. The qubit transpose channel is nice in the sense that every test presented is applicable to it.

The majorization rank and Gershgorin circle tests do not have domains of applicability based on the rank of the matrix (like the Cauchy interlacing test) or the presence of an odd number of negative eigenvalues (like the determinant test), but they are limited to Choi matrices. It should be stressed that neither of these tests is a general test for the positive semi-definiteness of a Hermitian matrix. These two tests are, however, generally more useful than the Cauchy interlacing or determinant tests because of their domain of applicability for all valid Choi matrices.

## 3. Example

Consider the single qubit channel described by the Choi matrix

$$C_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}(3+\cos(2\theta)) & -\frac{\sin\theta}{2} & c_1 & c_2 \\ -\frac{\sin\theta}{2} & \frac{\sin^2\theta}{2} & c_4 & c_3 \\ c_1^* & c_4^* & \frac{\sin^2\theta}{2} & -\frac{\sin\theta}{2} \\ c_2^* & c_3^* & -\frac{\sin\theta}{2} & \frac{1}{4}(3+\cos(2\theta)) \end{pmatrix} \quad , \tag{43}$$

where $*$ denotes the complex conjugate and with

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sin\theta(-i\cos\theta + \sin\theta) \quad , \tag{44}$$

$$c_2 = \cos\theta + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}\right)\sin\theta \quad , \tag{45}$$

$$c_3 = \frac{1}{4}\left(-1 + e^{2i\theta}\right) \quad , \tag{46}$$

and

$$c_4 = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}\right)\sin\theta \quad . \tag{47}$$

This channel can arise, for example, from two qubit composite dynamics of

$$U_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & \sin\theta & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \tag{48}$$

with a sharp operation defined on the canonical tomography vector by a Hadamard rotation of the bath qubit [10].

The spectrum of $C_\theta$ is difficult to find in general; hence, finding the negativity as a function of $\theta$ is not straightforward. Notice, however, that

$$\frac{1}{4}|3+\cos(2\theta)| \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \tag{49}$$

with

$$\max_\theta \left(\frac{1}{4}|3+\cos(2\theta)|\right) = 1 \tag{50}$$

achieved at $\theta = \pi n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$r_1 = \frac{|\sin\theta|}{2} + |c_1| + |c_2| \quad , \tag{51}$$

At $\theta = \pi n$, $r_1 = 1 \; \forall n$. The other Gershgorin radii are defined as

$$r_2 = \frac{|\sin\theta|}{2} + |c_4| + |c_3| \;\; , \tag{52}$$

$$r_3 = \frac{|\sin\theta|}{2} + |c_1^*| + |c_4^*| \;\; , \tag{53}$$

and

$$r_4 = \frac{|\sin\theta|}{2} + |c_2^*| + |c_3^*| \;\; . \tag{54}$$

At $\theta = \pi n$, $r_2 = 0$, $r_3 = 0$, and $r_4 = 1 \; \forall n$. The Gershgorin center is

$$\frac{|\sin^2\theta|}{2} \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \;\; , \tag{55}$$

with

$$\max_{\theta}\left(\frac{|\sin^2\theta|}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \tag{56}$$

achieved at $\theta = \pi n$. The conclusion is that $C_\theta$ is diagonally dominant for $\theta = \pi n$. The Gershgorin circle test can be used to find the points at which the channel represented by $C_\theta$ is CP without ever actually calculating the spectrum.

## 4. Conclusion

The negativity of a channel is important to understand. It gives clues about the system-bath coupling and correlation, and that information can invaluable to an experimentalist as she tries to engineer her quantum gates and communication channels. Unfortunately, it is not always easy to calculate. The spectrum of Choi representations for channels consisting of few qubits can usually be found numerically given the process tomography data. But, the theorist looking to find general formulas for channel negativities begins to run into problems even at the single qubit level. Fortunately, the exact value of the negativity is not always needed.

In a lot of cases, a theorist might just want to know if a given channel is CP or not. The above tests are quick ways to answer that question without ever needing to find the spectrum. The Gershgorin circle test is, in particular, useful for its ability to not only determine whether or not a channel is CP, but to actually give conditions on when a channel can be CP given the channel parameters (as was seen in the example).

As the study of negative channels becomes more prevalent in quantum information theory, it will be important to have simple, computationally inexpensive tests to determine when a channel is CP. The mathematical differences between CP and negative channels lead to pronounced differences in a variety of channel properties [10], and it is important for the theorist and the experimentalist to know when the CP theories apply to the system they are studying.

## 5. Acknowledgements

I'd like to thank Keye Martin, Marco Lanzagorta, Johnny Feng, and Tanner Crowder for their help with this idea.

## References

[1] Man-Duen Choi. Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 10(3):285 – 290, 1975.

[2] Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[3] H.P. Breuer and F. Petruccione. *The theory of open quantum systems*. Oxford University Press, 2007.

[4] Philip Pechukas. Reduced dynamics need not be completely positive. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 73:1060–1062, Aug 1994.

[5] Robert Alicki. Comment on "reduced dynamics need not be completely positive". *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 75:3020–3020, Oct 1995.

[6] Philip Pechukas. Pechukas replies:. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 75:3021–3021, Oct 1995.

[7] Anil Shaji and E.C.G. Sudarshan. Who's afraid of not completely positive maps? *Physics Letters A*, 341(14):48 – 54, 2005.

[8] Cesar A Rodrguez-Rosario, Kavan Modi, Aik-meng Kuah, Anil Shaji, and E C G Sudarshan. Completely positive maps and classical correlations. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 41(20):4, 2007.

[9] Hilary A. Carteret, Daniel R. Terno, and Karol Zyczkowski. Dynamics beyond completely positive maps: Some properties and applications. *Phys. Rev. A*, 77:042113, Apr 2008.

[10] James M. McCracken. *Negative Quantum Channels*. George Mason University, 2013 (in press).

[11] Cesar A. Rodriguez-Rosario, Kavan Modi, and Alán Aspuru-Guzik. Linear assignment maps for correlated system-environment states. *Phys. Rev. A*, 81:012313, Jan 2010.

[12] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, B. Diu, and F. Laloë. *Quantum mechanics*. Quantum Mechanics. Wiley, 1977.

[13] Timothy F. Havel. Robust procedures for converting among lindblad, kraus and matrix representations of quantum dynamical semigroups. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 44(2):534–557, 2003.

[14] Debbie W. Leung. Choi's proof as a recipe for quantum process tomography. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 44(2):528–533, 2003.

[15] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[16] Alfred Horn. Doubly stochastic matrices and the diagonal of a rotation matrix. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 76(3):pp. 620–630, 1954.

[17] R. Thompson. Singular values, diagonal elements, and convexity. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 32(1):39–63, 1977.

[18] Michael A. Nielsen and Guifré Vidal. Majorization and the interconversion of bipartite states. *Quantum Info. Comput.*, 1(1):76–93, January 2001.

[19] A. Jeffrey. *Matrix Operations for Engineers and Scientists: An Essential Guide in Linear Algebra*. SpringerLink: Springer e-Books. Springer, 2010.